






This document compiles the:

- UX/Design implications
- Evidence strength implications
- And areas for future work

For each of the evidence syntheses.

## Evidence synthesis 1: [title]



What are the UX/design implications for [intervention]?


Design Implication	status	Comment
		Less suitable in x
		Core function
		Unclear status
		Priority area
		Priority area

## What are potential implications of these findings?


This table is intended to provide an overview summary of the evidence synthesis, mapping the key takeaways to the driving questions.

### Explanatory note.



 = strength of evidence, with 3\* indicating very strong evidence (e.g. from systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and multiple robust randomised control trials); 2\* indicating multiple experimental or quasi experimental designs; and 1 \* other studies such as observational or correlational work.

 is used to indicate a positive effect found, or supportive evidence.

 is used to indicate a negative effect found, or non-supportive evidence.

 is used to indicate the evidence is unclear or/and underexplored or/and cannot be addressed using quantitative research.

This approach is informed by van der Bles et al.'s discussion (2019)

Driver	Evidence	Comment
	  	

Area of exploration and future evaluation:

Issue	Implication